

$$|x_i(t) - \varphi_i(t)| \leq \omega_i(t) \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

we have the following inequalities:

$$\left| x_i^0 + \int_{t_i}^t f_i(\xi, x_1(\xi), \dots, x_n(\xi)) d\xi - \varphi_i(t) \right| \leq \omega_i(t) \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

Then the system (1) admits a solution $(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ such that $x_i(t)$ is continuous on I_i and $x_i(t_i) = x_i^0$.

§ 2. First we consider a system of differential equations

$$(2) \quad \frac{dy_i}{dt} = \lambda_i(t)y_i + \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij}(t)y_j \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

where the coefficients $\lambda_i(t)$ and $r_{ij}(t)$ are measurable functions on an interval $[t_0, +\infty)$.

Put

$$A_i(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_i(\xi) d\xi, \quad M_i(t) = \Re(A_i(t)),$$

where $\Re(\lambda)$ denotes the real part of the complex number λ .

THEOREM 1. Suppose, for a fixed index h ($1 \leq h \leq n$), the following conditions are satisfied.

(A) There exist functions $\underline{\alpha}_i(t), \bar{\alpha}_i(t)$ such that

$$\underline{\alpha}_i(t) \leq M_h(t) - M_i(t) \leq \bar{\alpha}_i(t) \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

(B) The function $R_i(t)e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(t)}$ is summable on every finite interval $[t_0, t]$ for $i=1, \dots, m$, and on every infinite subinterval of $[t_0, +\infty)$ for $i=m+1, \dots, n$, where

$$R_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n |r_{ij}(t)| + |r_{ih}(t)| \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

(The case of $m=0$ or $m=n$ are not excluded).

(C) The function $\sigma_i(t)$ defined by

$$\sigma_i(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\underline{\alpha}_i(t)} \int_{\tau}^t R_i(\xi) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(\xi)} d\xi & (i=1, \dots, m), \\ e^{-\underline{\alpha}_i(t)} \int_t^{\infty} R_i(\xi) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(\xi)} d\xi & (i=m+1, \dots, n), \end{cases}$$

tends to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_i(t) = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

($\tau \geq t_0$ is a suitably chosen number).

Then the system (2) has a solution such that

$$(3) \quad y_1 = (\delta_1^h + o(1)) \exp \left(\int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi \right), \dots, y_n = (\delta_n^h + o(1)) \exp \left(\int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi \right),$$

where δ_j^h denotes the Kronecker's symbol.

Proof. By the change of variables

$$y_i = e^{A_i(t)} z_i \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

the system (2) is transformed into a new system

$$(4) \quad \frac{dz_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij}(t) e^{A_j(t) - A_i(t)} z_j \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

In order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that the system (4) has a solution such that

$$(5) \quad z_1 = (\delta_1^h + o(1)) e^{A_h(t) - A_1(t)}, \dots, z_n = (\delta_n^h + o(1)) e^{A_h(t) - A_n(t)}.$$

Let us put

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f_i(t, z_1, \dots, z_n) &= \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij}(t) e^{A_j(t) - A_i(t)} z_j, \\ \varphi_i(t) &= \delta_i^h, \quad \omega_i(t) = e^{A_i(t)} \sigma_i(t), \quad z_i^0 = \delta_i^h \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

and

$$t_i = \begin{cases} \tau & (i=1, \dots, m), \\ +\infty & (i=m+1, \dots, n). \end{cases}$$

For any system of continuous functions $z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t)$ satisfying the condition

$$(6) \quad |z_i(t) - \varphi_i(t)| \leq \omega_i(t) \quad (t \geq \tau, i=1, \dots, n),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_i(t, z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t))| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |r_{ij}(t)| e^{M_j(t) - M_i(t)} \omega_j(t) + |r_{ih}(t)| e^{M_h(t) - M_i(t)} \\ &= e^{M_h(t) - M_i(t)} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |r_{ij}(t)| e^{A_j(t) - (M_h(t) - M_j(t))} \sigma_j(t) + |r_{ih}(t)| \right\} \quad (i=1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

According to the condition (C) we can presuppose without loss of generality that

$$0 \leq \sigma_i(t) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

Thus, using the condition (A), we have

$$|f_i(t, z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t))| \leq R_i(t) e^{\bar{A}_i(t)} \quad (t \geq \tau, i=1, \dots, n),$$

and finally

$$\left| z_i^0 + \int_{t_i}^t f_i(\xi, z_1(\xi), \dots, z_n(\xi)) d\xi - \varphi_i(t) \right| \leq \omega_i(t) \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

where $(z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t))$ is any system of continuous functions satisfying the condition (6).

Therefore, by the theorem of Hukuhara, the system (4) has a solution $(z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t))$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$|z_i(t) - \varphi_i(t)| \leq \omega_i(t), \quad z_i(t_i) = z_i^0 \quad (t \geq \tau, i=1, \dots, n),$$

i.e.

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} |z_i(t) - \delta_i^h| &\leq \omega_i(t) & (t \geq \tau, i=1, \dots, n), \\ z_i(t_i) &= \delta_i^h & (i=1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (7) by $e^{-(M_h(t) - M_i(t))}$, we obtain the inequalities

$$|z_i(t)e^{-(M_h(t) - M_i(t))} - \delta_i^h e^{-(M_h(t) - M_i(t))}| \leq e^{-(M_h(t) - M_i(t))} \omega_i(t),$$

from which, by the condition (A), it follows that

$$|z_i(t)e^{-(M_h(t) - M_i(t))} - \delta_i^h| \leq e^{-\alpha_i(t)} \omega_i(t) = \sigma_i(t) \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

In virtue of the condition (C) the righthand sides of these inequalities tend to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, and so the system $(z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t))$ is easily seen to be nothing but the solution (5).

Thus, Theorem 1 is completely proved.

§ 3. In this section we prove a corollary of Theorem 1. We preserve the previous definitions of the functions $M_i(t)$, $R_i(t)$, the index h being fixed.

COROLLARY. *Let us assume the following conditions a)~e):*

a) *There exist functions $\underline{\alpha}_i(t)$, $\bar{\alpha}_i(t)$ such that*

$$\underline{\alpha}_i(t) \leq M_h(t) - M_i(t) \leq \bar{\alpha}_i(t) \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

b) *The functions $\underline{\alpha}_i(t)$, $\bar{\alpha}_i(t)$ are non-decreasing for $i=1, \dots, m$, and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{\alpha}_i(t) = +\infty \quad (i=1, \dots, m).$$

c) *The functions $\underline{\alpha}_i(t)$, $\bar{\alpha}_i(t)$ are non-increasing for $i=m+1, \dots, n$.*

d) *There exists a number τ such that*

$$\bar{\alpha}_i(t) - \underline{\alpha}_i(t) \leq K_i + \beta_i(t) \log t \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

where K_i is a non-negative number, and $\beta_i(t)$ a non-negative measurable function.

$$e) \quad \int_{\tau}^{\infty} R_i(\xi) \xi^{\beta_i(\xi)} d\xi < +\infty \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

Then the system (2) has a solution (3).

Proof. It is readily seen from the condition d) that

$$R_i(t) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(t)} \leq e^{K_i} R_i(t) e^{\underline{\alpha}_i(t)} t^{\beta_i(t)} \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

Therefore, by the condition e), the function $R_i(t) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(t)}$ is summable on every interval $[\tau, t] (t < +\infty)$ for $i=1, \dots, m$, and on every infinite subinterval of $[\tau, +\infty)$ for $i=m+1, \dots, n$, since $\underline{\alpha}_i(t)$ is bounded from above.

Thus it remains only to prove that the functions

$$\sigma_i(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\underline{\alpha}_i(t)} \int_{\tau}^t R_i(\xi) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(\xi)} d\xi & (i=1, \dots, m), \\ e^{-\underline{\alpha}_i(t)} \int_t^{\infty} R_i(\xi) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(\xi)} d\xi & (i=m+1, \dots, n), \end{cases}$$

satisfy the condition C) of Theorem 1.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists, by virtue of the condition e), a number $t^* (t^* \geq \tau)$ such that

$$e^{K_i} \int_{t^*}^{\infty} R_i(\xi) \xi^{\beta_i(\xi)} d\xi < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

Let us put

$$e^{-\underline{\alpha}_i(t)} \int_{\tau}^t R_i(\xi) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(\xi)} d\xi = I + II \quad (i=1, \dots, m),$$

where

$$I = e^{-\underline{\alpha}_i(t)} \int_{\tau}^{t^*} R_i(\xi) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(\xi)} d\xi \quad (t \geq t^*), \quad II = e^{-\underline{\alpha}_i(t)} \int_{t^*}^t R_i(\xi) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(\xi)} d\xi \quad (t \geq t^*).$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{\alpha}_i(t) = +\infty (i=1, \dots, m)$, it is evident that

$$I < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } t \geq t',$$

where t' is a sufficiently large number.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq e^{-\underline{\alpha}_i(t)} \int_{t^*}^t R_i(\xi) e^{\underline{\alpha}_i(\xi) + K_i + \beta_i(\xi) \log \xi} d\xi \leq e^{-\underline{\alpha}_i(t) + K_i} \int_{t^*}^t R_i(\xi) e^{\underline{\alpha}_i(\xi)} \xi^{\beta_i(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= e^{K_i} \int_{t^*}^t R_i(\xi) \xi^{\beta_i(\xi)} d\xi < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (i=1, \dots, m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_i(t) = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, m).$$

From the fact that $-\alpha_i(t)$ ($i=m+1, \dots, n$) are non-decreasing functions, and from the condition d) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\alpha_i(t)} \int_t^\infty R_i(\xi) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(\xi)} d\xi &\leq \int_t^\infty R_i(\xi) e^{\bar{\alpha}_i(\xi) - \alpha_i(\xi)} d\xi \\ &\leq e^{\kappa_i} \int_t^\infty R_i(\xi) \xi^{\beta_i(\xi)} d\xi \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_i(t) = 0 \quad (i=m+1, \dots, n).$$

Thus our assertion is proved.

§ 4. Here we investigate a more general case, where a system of equations

$$(8) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij} + b_{ij}(t) + c_{ij}(t)) x_j \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

is to be considered.

THEOREM 2. Let $A=(a_{ij})$ be a constant matrix with characteristic roots μ_j , $j=1, \dots, n$, all of which are distinct, and $b_{ij}(t)$ be functions differentiable on $[t_0, +\infty)$ with the additional property:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} b_{ij}(t) = 0 \quad (i, j=1, \dots, n),$$

and $c_{ij}(t)$ be measurable on $[t_0, +\infty)$.

Denote the characteristic roots of the matrix $(a_{ij} + b_{ij}(t))$ by $\lambda_1(t), \dots, \lambda_n(t)$. We may assume, by reordering if necessary, that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_j(t) = \mu_j$.

Let us put

$$M_i(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \Re(\lambda_i(\xi)) d\xi \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

and assume, for a fixed index h ($1 \leq h \leq n$), the following conditions:

(A) There exist functions $\underline{\alpha}_i(t), \bar{\alpha}_i(t)$ such that

$$\underline{\alpha}_i(t) \leq M_h(t) - M_i(t) \leq \bar{\alpha}_i(t) \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

(B) The functions $\underline{\alpha}_i(t), \bar{\alpha}_i(t)$ are non-decreasing for $i=1, \dots, m$, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{\alpha}_i(t) = +\infty.$$

(C) The functions $\underline{\alpha}_i(t), \bar{\alpha}_i(t)$ are non-increasing for $i=m+1, \dots, n$.

(D) There exists a number τ such that

$$\bar{\alpha}_i(t) - \underline{\alpha}_i(t) \leq K + \beta(t) \log t \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

where K is a non-negative number, and $\beta(t)$ a non-negative measurable function.

(E)

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} |b'_{ij}(\xi)| \xi^{\beta(\xi)} d\xi < \infty, \quad \int_{\tau}^{\infty} |c_{ij}(\xi)| \xi^{\beta(\xi)} d\xi < \infty \quad (i, j=1, \dots, n).$$

Then, there is a solution (x_1, \dots, x_n) of the system (8) such that

$$x_1 = (s_1 + o(1)) \exp \left(\int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi \right), \dots, x_n = (s_n + o(1)) \exp \left(\int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi \right),$$

where (s_1, \dots, s_n) is a characteristic vector of the matrix A associated with μ_h .

Proof. First we construct the matrix $P(t) = (p_{ij}(t))$ so that the change of variables $\mathbf{x} = P(t)\mathbf{y}$ reduces the system (8) to the system of the type (2). After the reduction, the corollary of Theorem 1 will be applied to the new system and thus the proof of our assertion will be completed.

Since all the characteristic roots of the matrix A are distinct, there exists a constant non-singular matrix $S = (s_{ij})$ such that

$$S^{-1}AS = D,$$

where D is a diagonal matrix:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & & 0 \\ & \mu_2 & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & \mu_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the matrix $F(t) = (f_{ij}(t))$ by

$$F(t) = S^{-1}B(t)S,$$

where $B(t)$ stands for the matrix having $b_{ij}(t)$ as the element in the i -th row and j -th column. Let us define the matrix $M(\lambda, t)$ as follows:

$$M(\lambda, t) = D + F(t) - \lambda E.$$

Denoting the cofactor of the element in the j -th row and i -th column of $M(\lambda, t)$ by $w_{ji}(\lambda, t)$, we will put

$$\tilde{p}_{ij}(t) = \frac{w_{ji}(\lambda_j(t), t)}{\prod_{s \neq j} (\mu_s - \mu_j)}.$$

Since $F(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, it is easily seen that $w_{ji}(\lambda_j(t), t)$ tends to the cofactor of the element in the j -th row and i -th column of the matrix $D - \mu_j E$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} w_{ji}(\lambda_j(t), t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \neq j), \\ \prod_{s \neq j}^n (\mu_s - \mu_j) & (i = j), \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{p}_{ij}(t) = \delta_j^i.$$

Let the matrix with elements $\tilde{p}_{ij}(t)$ be $\tilde{P}(t)$. Since the characteristic roots of the matrix $D + F(t)$ coincide with those of the matrix $A + B(t)$, $\det M(\lambda_j(t), t) = 0$ and so it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n (\mu_i \delta_k^i + f_{ik}(t) - \lambda_j(t) \delta_k^i) \tilde{p}_{kj}(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{s \neq j}^n (\mu_s - \mu_j)} \sum_{k=1}^n (\mu_i \delta_k^i + f_{ik}(t) - \lambda_j(t) \delta_k^i) w_{jk}(\lambda_j(t), t) \\ &= 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n (\mu_i \delta_k^i + f_{ik}(t)) \tilde{p}_{kj}(t) = \lambda_j(t) \tilde{p}_{ij}(t) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

and finally we have

$$(D + F(t)) \tilde{P}(t) = \tilde{P}(t) \Lambda(t),$$

where $\Lambda(t)$ is a diagonal matrix:

$$\Lambda(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(t) & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2(t) & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & \lambda_n(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the fact that $\tilde{P}(\infty) = E$, it can be seen that there exists an inverse matrix $\tilde{P}^{-1}(t)$ of $\tilde{P}(t)$ for sufficiently large t . Therefore

$$\tilde{P}^{-1}(t)(D + F(t))\tilde{P}(t) = \Lambda(t).$$

If we define the matrix $P(t) = (p_{ij}(t))$ by

$$P(t) = S \tilde{P}(t),$$

then for sufficiently large t

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1}(t)(A + B(t))P(t) &= P^{-1}(t)S^{-1}(A + B(t))S\tilde{P}(t) \\ &= \tilde{P}^{-1}(t)(S^{-1}AS + S^{-1}B(t)S)\tilde{P}(t) = \tilde{P}^{-1}(t)(D + F(t))\tilde{P}(t) = \Lambda(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since every element of matrix $F(t)$ is linear in the elements of $B(t)$, it follows from the condition (E) that

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} |f'_{ij}(\xi)| \xi^{\beta(\xi)} d\xi < \infty \quad (i, j=1, \dots, n).$$

Let us denote $\det M(\lambda, t)$ by $G(\lambda, t)$. Then $G(\lambda_j(t), t)=0$ and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda_j(t), t) \lambda'_j(t) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(\lambda_j(t), t) = 0.$$

Since the term $(\partial G/\partial t)(\lambda_j(t), t)$ is linear homogeneous in $f'_{ij}(t)$, with all the elements of $M(\lambda_j(t), t)$ being bounded for sufficiently large t , it follows that

$$(9) \quad \int_{\tau'}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial G}{\partial \xi}(\lambda_j(\xi), \xi) \right| \xi^{\beta(\xi)} d\xi < \infty,$$

where $\tau'(\geq \tau)$ is a sufficiently large number. Without loss of generality we can assume $\tau'=\tau$ for the rest of our discussion. The term $(\partial G/\partial \lambda)(\lambda_j(t), t)$ tends to a non-vanishing limit as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ since the characteristic roots of D are distinct. So, by virtue of (9), we have

$$(10) \quad \int_{\tau}^{\infty} |\lambda'_j(\xi)| \xi^{\beta(\xi)} d\xi < \infty \quad (j=1, \dots, n).$$

Taking account of the fact that $\tilde{p}'_{ij}(t)$ is linear homogeneous in the elements $f'_{ks}(t)$ and $\lambda'_j(t)$, and using the boundedness of all the elements of $M(\lambda_j(t), t)$ for sufficiently large t , we can deduce from the former condition of (E) and (10) the inequality

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} |\tilde{p}'_{ij}(\xi)| \xi^{\beta(\xi)} d\xi < \infty.$$

Thus clearly

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} |p'_{ij}(\xi)| \xi^{\beta(\xi)} d\xi < \infty \quad (i, j=1, \dots, n).$$

Let us transform the system (8) by the change of variables

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}(t) y_j \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

into a system

$$(11) \quad \frac{dy_j}{dt} = \lambda_j(t) y_j + \sum_{k=1}^n r_{jk}(t) y_k \quad (j=1, \dots, n),$$

where

$$(r_{jk}(t)) = (p_{jk}(t))^{-1}(c_{jk}(t))(p_{jk}(t)) - (p_{jk}(t))^{-1}(p'_{jk}(t)) .$$

Since the element $r_{jk}(t)$ is linear homogeneous in the elements $c_{is}(t)$ and $p'_{ik}(t)$, with all the elements of $P(t)$ and $P^{-1}(t)$ being bounded for sufficiently large t , we obtain the inequalities

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} |r_{ij}(\xi)| \xi^{\beta(\xi)} d\xi < \infty \quad (i, j=1, \dots, n),$$

which imply the following ones:

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} R_i(\xi) \xi^{\beta(\xi)} d\xi < \infty \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

where $R_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n |r_{ij}(t)| + |r_{ih}(t)|$.

Applying the corollary of Theorem 1 to the system (11), we see that the system (11) admits a solution

$$y_j = (\delta_j^h + o(1)) \exp \left(\int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi \right) \quad (j=1, \dots, n),$$

and consequently the system (8) has as a solution

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}(t) (\delta_j^h + o(1)) \exp \left(\int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi \right) \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

Because $P(t) = S\tilde{P}(t)$, clearly $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p_{ij}(t) = s_{ij}$, where s_{ij} stands for the element in the i -th row and j -th column of the matrix S . Therefore it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \exp \left(- \int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi \right) x_i = s_{ih} \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

i.e.

$$x_i = (s_{ih} + o(1)) \exp \left(\int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi \right) \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

The vector (s_{1h}, \dots, s_{nh}) is a characteristic vector of the matrix A associated with μ_h , since $AS = SD$. Considering (s_{1h}, \dots, s_{nh}) obtained above as our (s_1, \dots, s_n) we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

§ 5. In this section we give a proof of a theorem of N. Levinson as a direct consequence of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY. Consider the system of equations (8). Let $A = (a_{ij})$, μ_j , $b_{ij}(t)$, and $\lambda_j(t)$ be as in the first two sentences of Theorem 2.

Suppose, for a fixed index h ($1 \leq h \leq n$), that

$$\Re(\lambda_h(t) - \lambda_i(t)) \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

satisfy the following conditions (a), (b).

$$(a) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_0}^t \Re(\lambda_h(\xi) - \lambda_i(\xi)) d\xi = +\infty, & \text{and} \\ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Re(\lambda_h(\xi) - \lambda_i(\xi)) d\xi \geq -C & (t_2 \geq t_1 \geq t_0) \end{cases} \quad (i=1, \dots, m).$$

$$(b) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Re(\lambda_h(\xi) - \lambda_i(\xi)) d\xi \leq C \quad (t_2 \geq t_1 \geq t_0) \quad (i=m+1, \dots, n).$$

(C is a positive number). Then

$$(*) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} |b'_{ij}(\xi)| d\xi < \infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} |c_{ij}(\xi)| d\xi < \infty \quad (i, j=1, \dots, n)$$

is a sufficient condition that the system (8) have as a solution

$$x_1 = (s_1 + o(1)) \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi\right), \dots, x_n = (s_n + o(1)) \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^t \lambda_h(\xi) d\xi\right),$$

where (s_1, \dots, s_n) is a characteristic vector of A associated with μ_h .

Proof. Using our previous notation, we have

$$\int_{t_0}^t \Re(\lambda_h(\xi) - \lambda_i(\xi)) d\xi = M_h(t) - M_i(t).$$

Let us define the functions $\underline{\alpha}_i(t), \bar{\alpha}_i(t)$ as follows:

$$\bar{\alpha}_i(t) = \begin{cases} \sup_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t} (M_h(t') - M_i(t')) & (i=1, \dots, m), \\ \sup_{t' \geq t} (M_h(t') - M_i(t')) & (i=m+1, \dots, n), \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{\alpha}_i(t) = \begin{cases} \inf_{t' \geq t} (M_h(t') - M_i(t')) & (i=1, \dots, m), \\ \inf_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t} (M_h(t') - M_i(t')) & (i=m+1, \dots, n). \end{cases}$$

Then by virtue of the conditions (a), (b) it is easily seen that

$$\underline{\alpha}_i(t) \leq M_h(t) - M_i(t) \leq \bar{\alpha}_i(t),$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_i(t) - \underline{\alpha}_i(t) \leq C \quad (t \geq t_0, i=1, \dots, n),$$

and clearly the functions $\underline{\alpha}_i(t), \bar{\alpha}_i(t)$ satisfy the conditions (A), (B), (C) and (D) of Theorem 2 with $K=C, \beta(t) \equiv 0$. Since $\beta(t) \equiv 0$, the condition (E) of Theorem 2 is necessarily satisfied in the present case by virtue of the condition (*). Thus our assertion is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.

Remark. The inequalities

$$\bar{\alpha}_i(t) - \underline{\alpha}_i(t) \leq C \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

could also be obtained by putting $K=0, \beta(t)=C/\log t$. Then the cor-

responding conditions become

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} |b'_{ij}(\xi)| \xi^{\sigma/\log \xi} d\xi < \infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} |c_{ij}(\xi)| \xi^{\sigma/\log \xi} d\xi < \infty \quad (i, j=1, \dots, n),$$

which are clearly equivalent to the conditions (*), since $\xi^{\sigma/\log \xi} = e^{\sigma}$.

The author wishes to express here his hearty gratitude to Prof. Y. Yosida and Prof. T. Kimura for generous amounts of suggestions on the problem and kind guidances.

(Rikkyo Senior High School)

References

- [1] Bellman, R: Stability theory of differential equations, London (1953).
- [2] Cesari, L: Asymptotic behavior and stability problems in ordinary differential equations, *Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Heft 16 (1959).
- [3] Coddington, E. A. and Levinson, N: Theory of ordinary differential equations, McGraw-Hill, New York (1955).
- [4] Hukuhara, M: Sur les points singuliers des équations différentielles linéaires: Domaine réel, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Imperial Univ. Ser. I*, 2 (1934).
- [5] Kimura, T: Sur les comportement des solutions d'un système d'équations différentielles ordinaires linéaires, *Comm. Math. Univ. St. Paul XI* (1963).
- [6] Levinson, N: The asymptotic nature of the solutions of linear systems of differential equations, *Duke Math. J.* 15 (1948).